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Purpose of review
The present article updates knowledge regarding the evidence base for online interventions for anxiety disorders, and provides an overview of recent advances in online interventions for anxiety over the past 18 months.

Recent findings
Computerized self-help is an effective strategy for providing evidence-based treatments for symptoms of anxiety and depression. Online delivery has numerous advantages for clinicians and patients, including greater accessibility, anonymity, convenience and cost-effectiveness. These may be particularly important for populations experiencing anxiety, which may face more pronounced barriers to accessing care. Recent meta-analyses have confirmed that computerized cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) for anxiety demonstrates comparable clinical outcomes as face-to-face psychotherapy for individuals with anxiety. This review updates the status of current knowledge by providing a focused review of randomized controlled trials of computerized (including Internet and portable device-delivered) treatments for anxiety.

Summary
Recent studies have confirmed the utility of computerized psychotherapy for anxiety. Future trials are required to elucidate the active constituents of effective programs, evaluate targeted approaches for specific groups, and to ascertain the optimal degree of guidance required. Clarification of these issues will assist in refining effective online programs operating within standalone virtual clinics or incorporated into clinician-supported stepped care approaches.
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INTRODUCTION
Anxiety disorders are now recognized as a major contributor to the global burden of disease [1]. Anxiety is a key risk factor for the development of bipolar disorder, suicide and suicide attempt [2,3].

Online therapies are now considered a viable treatment option for anxiety disorders. The advantages of online anxiety programs include access to treatment for those not wishing to seek professional help, without access to services, including rural and remote dwellers, where waiting lists are long, and for those who prefer to seek help autonomously [4,5]. Additional advantages include lower cost, high availability (24/7) and capacity for rapid dissemination [6,7]. In recent years, governments of countries across the world, such as the United Kingdom, Sweden and Australia, have provided support for the use of these programs through primary care, or directly through online portals. For example, Fearfighter [8] and Beating the Blues [9] are recommended for use in the United Kingdom through primary care trusts. In Australia, the Department of Health and Ageing supports a portal that provides access to evidence-based e-health applications (http://mindhealthconnect.org.au) [10] and funds open access, free evidence-based e-health applications for managing anxiety and depression, such as MyCompass (https://mycompass.com.au) and MoodGYM (https://moodgym.anu.edu.au).

This article aims to identify new research examining the effectiveness and usefulness of Internet or computer-based programs for anxiety treatment and prevention. We review four meta-analyses of Internet-based treatment programs, which have been published since 2009 [7,11–13]. In addition, we have identified 29 new randomized controlled trials published in the past year (2012 – June 2013). In this...
Computerized and Internet-delivered self-help CBT is highly effective for anxiety in adults, and shows comparable clinical outcomes as face-to-face psychotherapy.

- CBT remains the dominant Internet therapy.
- Studies in adolescence and youth support the positive findings seen in outcome trials for adults.
- Adherence to online trials is comparable to other forms of psychotherapy.

In this article, we review the previous meta-analyses, the new effectiveness trials and identify current themes concerning online treatment for anxiety. These themes address evidence for the best type of therapy, the suitability of online interventions for the range of anxiety disorders, the extent to which clinician support is required, the relative effectiveness of face-to-face and online treatments, the use of these programs in adolescents and young people and the issue of adherence.

**PREVIOUS SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS AND META-ANALYSES**

Griffiths et al. [14] focused on Internet interventions for depression and anxiety disorders, uncovering 26 randomized controlled trials (RCTs), of which 16 targeted an anxiety disorder [panic disorder, five; social phobia, five; posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD), four; unspecified anxiety, two] and two targeted both anxiety and depression. Independent of type of control condition, all anxiety interventions demonstrated positive results on at least one measure, with the exception of one SP study. Published effect sizes ranged from 0.29 to 1.74, with the majority above 0.65. Cuijpers et al. [7] reviewed RCTs of computerized psychotherapy for anxiety disorders, including Internet programs. Twenty-three articles reporting on RCTs were identified, including phobia (n = 10); panic disorder/agoraphobia, (n = 9); PTSD, (n = 3); and obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) (n = 1). The overall mean effect size was found to be 1.08. Based on 13 comparisons, face-to-face therapy did not differ from online delivery of CBT [7]. Effects persisted at 12-month follow-up. Andrews [11] identified 22 studies. Sixteen of these focused on anxiety disorders: panic disorder [6], social phobia [8] or generalized anxiety disorder (GAD) [2]; the remaining six dealt with major depression. Although two of the studies aimed at treating depression included clinic-based computerized CBT, all of the studies that focused on anxiety disorders involved Internet-based CBT (iCBT). The mean effect size was 0.92 for social phobia (n = 8); 0.83 for panic disorder (n = 6), and 1.12 for GAD (n = 2). Two studies directly compared face-to-face treatment for anxiety disorder (panic disorder), and no differences were reported.

Two other meta-analyses have since been reported, although neither focused exclusively on online anxiety programs or included all forms of online programs. The first by Lewis et al. [13] examined only self-help interventions for anxiety disorders, including websites, books, CDs and DVDs. The findings indicated large outcomes relative to waitlist control conditions for self-help interventions but negative effect sizes relative to therapist delivered interventions. Finally, Paul et al. [15] published a systematic review of web-based approaches to improving psychosocial health, or quality of life, in chronic physical and mental health conditions. However, the coverage of this review is broader than that of anxiety disorders, with its scope encompassing chronic health conditions, and it had a focus on improving well being and quality of life as outcomes of interest.

**EFFECTIVENESS TRIALS PUBLISHED IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS**

Using search terms for anxiety disorders, RCTs, and computer-based studies we conducted a literature search in PsycInfo and PubMed to identify studies published in the past year (January 2012 to July 2013). Studies focussing on depression were included if anxiety was a secondary outcome. We also searched an existing database of peer-reviewed RCTs reporting on studies of mobile applications for mental health disorders for relevant articles from the past year [16]. A total of 77 articles were retrieved for full text coding from the past year. Twenty nine relevant RCTs were identified. These are summarized in Table 1. [17,18**,19–21,22*,23, 24*,25*,26–28,29*,30,31*,32,33**,34*,35–39,40*,41*, 42**,43–45]

**NOTEWORTHY THEMES AND ISSUES**

We identified several key themes that arose within the current literature, which we outline and discuss below.

**Type of therapy**

The bulk of interventions used CBT. An example of these studies is that of Newby [40*]. This study targeted mixed anxiety and depression, delivering
a 10-week, therapist-assisted program. Findings indicated a relatively large between-group effect size of 0.8. This effect size is indicative of studies of this type, the mean effect size being 0.8 (range 0.2–1.6). Notably, the second most common type of intervention was bias modification. This may, in part, be a reflection of the critical role of attentional and cognitive biases in the development and maintenance of anxiety [20,46,47]. A notable example of a bias modification study is that of Neubauer [41]. This study is an RCT of Internet-delivered attention modification training for social phobia which examined the effectiveness of a 4.5-week intervention delivered to adults meeting criteria for social phobia. As was the trend for studies concerning bias modification in this review, no significant effects were found between the intervention and control groups at posttest or final follow-up (4 months in this case), and the effect size was fairly modest. Overall, the evidence for the efficacy of bias modification interventions for anxiety was somewhat lacking, with effect sizes for bias modification studies 0.07–0.42 and most/all effects nonsignificant. Three computerized stress-management interventions were evaluated. A good example of these is Rose [34]. This study tested a 6-week computer-based self-guided stress management and resilience training program that contained

### Table 1. Basic characteristics of studies included in current review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Country conducted</th>
<th>Target population (Age)</th>
<th>Disorder targeted by intervention</th>
<th>Type of intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>USA Adults</td>
<td>Social anxiety</td>
<td>Bias modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18*]</td>
<td>Sweden Adults</td>
<td>Social anxiety</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Sweden Adults</td>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>Psychodynamic / CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>Canada Adults</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT/stress management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>NZ Adults</td>
<td>Anxiety disorders</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[22*]</td>
<td>UK Adults</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23]</td>
<td>Sweden Adults</td>
<td>Social anxiety</td>
<td>Bias modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[24*]</td>
<td>Germany Adolescents</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[25*]</td>
<td>Sweden Adults</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>Netherlands Adolescents</td>
<td>Depression and anxiety</td>
<td>PST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Sweden Adults</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>Netherlands Adults</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29*]</td>
<td>Australia Adolescents</td>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>Australia Adults</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Bias modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31*]</td>
<td>Netherlands Adolescents and Adults (16–25)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>USA Adults</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>Social cognitive therapy/psychoeducation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33**]</td>
<td>Netherlands Adolescents</td>
<td>Social anxiety</td>
<td>Bias modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34*]</td>
<td>USA Adults</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Stress management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>Australia Adults</td>
<td>Social anxiety</td>
<td>Bias modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>Canada N.R.</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>Australia Adults</td>
<td>Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>Psychoeducation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>UK Adults</td>
<td>Misc.</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>UK Adults</td>
<td>Depressive symptoms</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40*]</td>
<td>Australia Adults</td>
<td>Anxiety and depression</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41*]</td>
<td>Germany Adults</td>
<td>Social anxiety</td>
<td>Bias modification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42**]</td>
<td>NZ Adolescents</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>NZ Adolescents</td>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[44]</td>
<td>Sweden Adults</td>
<td>Panic attacks</td>
<td>CBT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45]</td>
<td>Italy Adults</td>
<td>Stress</td>
<td>Stress management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adolescents, 12–17 years; adults, 18–64 years; CBT, Cognitive Behaviour Therapy; GAD, generalized anxiety disorder; Misc, Miscellaneous conditions – included a range of conditions including disfigurement-related distress, eating disorder symptomatology, insomnia, maladaptive perfectionism, perfectionism, postdisaster trauma, severe health anxiety, wellbeing; NZ, New Zealand; N.R, Not reported; PST, problem solving therapy; UK, United Kingdom; US, United States.
animated game-like activities focusing on day-to-day management of stress.

**Type of anxiety**

The majority of studies meeting criteria for this review included anxiety as a secondary outcome to other mental health problems such as depression and depressive symptoms, bipolar disorder, anorexia, hypochondriasis, general mental well being and insomnia. Of the eight studies that focused primarily on anxiety, the major target was social phobia (five studies [1,10,30,37,44]), with only one study [44] targeting panic disorder, and two targeting symptoms of anxiety.

New targets for online therapy were uncovered. The study by Fichter *et al.* [24] examined a 36-week CBT Internet-based prevention program for anorexia nervosa relapse. This study included a measure of phobic anxiety, finding that a significant difference between intervention and control groups in phobic anxiety at posttest, and an effect size of 0.22. Also of interest is the study by Bessel *et al.* [22] on the effectiveness of an 8-week computer-based CBT intervention for disfigurement-related distress and the study by Hedman *et al.* [25] of the long-term effectiveness of a 12-week iCBT course for severe health anxiety/hypochondriasis.

**Level of clinician support**

The majority of identified studies were either solely or primarily self-help in conjunction with minimal therapist guidance (generally weekly, via E-Mail, with 24-h turnaround, concerning homework feedback and facilitating completion of intervention). Some studies featured unique types of guidance, for example peer support coaching by bipolar sufferers alongside psychoeducation [37], online group CBT sessions hosted by a therapist [31] and therapist support via telephone to both parents and adolescents [29]. The heterogeneity among the studies in amount and type of guidance made it difficult to draw consistent conclusions about the utility of clinician support. Andersson *et al.* [18] concluded that the degree of therapist experience in the delivery of Internet programs did not appear to make a difference to clinical outcome but might speed up protocol administration.

**Comparison with face-to-face**

Only two of the studies identified compared an online intervention with a face-to-face intervention. Sportel *et al.* [33] compared an online cognitive bias modification (CBM) intervention for social anxiety with a face-to-face CBT intervention for social anxiety with assessment only. There was no significant difference in reduction of social anxiety symptoms between the active conditions at posttest. The second study [27] compared an online intervention with standard face-to-face CBT for facial-disfigurement distress, and found both conditions differed from the control.

**New technologies**

Although there have been significant gains in technology afforded by the rise of smartphones and tablet devices, only one of the identified RCTs tested a mobile application [45]. This study was a small RCT of stress management for nurses (*n* = 16), and reported significant improvements in anxiety for the treatment group [45].

**Adolescence and youth**

Previous reviews [48,49] completed in 2010 identified only three online trials of two programs targeting youth: BRAVE-Online, a cognitive behavior therapy (CBT) program designed to treat anxiety in children and adolescents (7–14 years), and the CBT-based MoodGYM depression prevention program in an adolescent (13–17 years) school-based population.

During the past 12 months, another five RCTs have been published [26,29,33,42,43] recruiting adolescents. Two of these trials [42,43] evaluated the effectiveness of the SPARX program, a CBT-based depression treatment program for adolescents (12–19 years). One of the trials [42] reported a significant effect for generalized anxiety at postintervention, while the other did not find an effect for anxiety [43]. Two [26,33] of the three remaining trials also did not report positive outcomes for anxiety, but these interventions used PST for mild anxiety or depression [26] and CBM for social and test anxiety [33]. As noted above (type of therapy) CBT interventions appear to be the most reliable in effecting positive outcomes. The final study evaluated the CBT-based Cool Teens program, which found a significant reduction in anxiety symptoms amongst adolescents (14–17 years) with a primary diagnosis of anxiety [29]. As with adults, online CBT appears to be the most reliable mean to shift anxiety in adolescents using technology.

**Adherence and dropout**

Among the 29 anxiety studies identified from the past year, 25 reported rates of dropout at posttest, with mean dropout of 18% (SD = 14%), range
0–64%) and only five studies with dropout rates above 30%. These high rates of retention are comparable to those found in previous reviews of online anxiety interventions [7,11,50]. Definitional issues remain problematic [50–52]. Two of the identified studies focused on intervention adherence as an outcome [37,40*]. One of these [37] found that adherence was greater when the online program included a peer support component, while females and older participants were also more likely to adhere to the program. The other [40*] reported that adherence was high in a defined clinical population but lower in a primary care setting, despite large effect sizes. Adherence and dropout have also been examined as predictors of effectiveness. One study reported greater adherence was associated with greater intervention effectiveness [24*], whereas another found no difference in outcomes for completers and noncompleters [26].

CONCLUSION
Online therapies, particularly those using CBT, are effective for anxiety disorders and anxiety symptoms secondary to disorders such as major depression. However, there remain gaps in the literature. No direct comparisons have yet been published of anxiolytics/antidepressants and online therapies. Refinements in the type of intervention delivered are also required. Online programs for GAD and OCD are lacking with few research trials.

Nevertheless, the evidence from previous work as well as from the current crop of RCTs remains strong enough to support the promotion of online programs to the public and to clinicians for use within their practice. There is also a need to switch the focus from efficacy and effectiveness studies to implementation trials within healthcare settings. This may involve the development of new models of care through ‘direct to the public’ virtual clinics, integration across healthcare systems in clinician-supported stepped care models, or through workforce channels or school settings. New developments into the future will include training of General Practitioners in e-health, the escalation of e-health programs as part of ‘low intensity’ interventions into the future will include training of General Practitioners in e-health, the escalation of e-health programs as part of ‘low intensity’ interventions in primary care, developments in General Practitioners’ prescription software to facilitate referral to online programs, and other developments linked with country-specific healthcare models.

Both clinicians and the public recognize the importance of the information that is required to assure the quality of websites and programs. In response, the Beacon website at the Australian National University (https://beacon.anu.edu.au/) was established to summarize the features and research evidence for online and mobile applications for mental health, including those targeting anxiety. We recommend this reference site for clinicians and the general public [53,54*].

To date, most online interventions have focused on the treatment rather than the prevention of anxiety disorders. However, the Internet offers a solution to the delivery of prevention programs, given its capacity for rapid dissemination of evidence-based programs, at low cost [6]. A recent meta-analysis of anxiety prevention in youth [55] demonstrated an overall effect size at postintervention of 0.18. In light of these positive findings, the potential of online programs for broad reach and the fact that the majority of young people are active online [56] suggests that universal prevention of anxiety in schools through the Internet is likely to be a significant opportunity in the near future.
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Mood and anxiety disorders


This study is the first and to the authors’ knowledge, probably the largest ICBT study for social anxiety disorder in which therapists with varying degrees of experience were randomly allocated to participants, allowing examination of effects of therapist experience on clinical outcome.


This is the first clinical trial to test (and demonstrate) the efficacy of an evidence-based e-mental health intervention in reducing anxiety and appearance-related distress in people with a facial disfigurement. This article builds a strong foundation for the future of online interventions for people with appearance-related distress as a first step in a stepped-care approach.


This study examined a 36-week internet-based prevention program for anorexia nervosa relapse. This is the first study looking at an internet intervention for anorexia nervosa, and utilizes online Cognitive Behavioural Therapy. This study included a measure of phobic anxiety, finding that a significant difference between intervention and control groups in phobic anxiety at posttest, and an effect size of 0.22.


This study examined the long-term effectiveness of a 12-week ICBT course for severe health anxiety/hypochondriasis. This is important for health anxiety, a less researched problem, and looks at long-term outcomes as well as cost-effectiveness. A between-group effect size at posttest of 1.62 was found, although this study did not find significant effects between intervention and control groups at posttest or final follow-up.


29. Wuthrich VM, Rapee RM, Cunningham MJ, et al. An RCT of internet delivered attention modification training for social phobia in adolescents with primary anxiety disorder (DSM-IV diagnosis) and therapist telephone support to both adolescent and parents, with adolescents also supported by their parents who also received brief CBT handouts of core strategies to support their adolescent. This multifaceted intervention may be particularly suitable to a younger age group, allowing for in-depth support within their home environment as well as offering a degree of self-directed individual CBT learning too.


This study is one of the first randomized controlled trials to date to investigate an online depression treatment for youth (majority of previous studies have focused on adults) and the first to feature an online group course (rather than an individual approach).


This was the first clinical trial to test the efficacy of CBT in early intervention in a multidimensional CBT approach.


This study targeted mixed anxiety and depression, delivering a 10-week, therapist-assisted program. Findings indicated a relatively large between-group effect size of 0.8, and this is fairly indicative of studies of this sort (i.e., CBT-based), making it of interest because it is representative of this area of research.


This study targeted mixed anxiety and depression, delivering a 10-week, therapist-assisted program. Findings indicated a relatively large between-group effect size of 0.8, and this is fairly indicative of studies of this sort (i.e., CBT-based), making it of interest because it is representative of this area of research.


43. An RCT of internet delivered attention modification training for social phobia in which the effectiveness of a 6-week intervention delivered to adults meeting criteria for social phobia. As was the trend for studies concerning bias modification in this review, no significant effects were found between the intervention and control groups at posttest or final follow-up (4 months in this case), and the effect size was fairly modest at 0.31 (which was fairly representative of bias modification studies found, with the exception of Amor et al. (2012), who reported a very large effect). This article has been chosen for annotation as it represents the bias modification literature included in this review fairly well.


This study shows the effectiveness of a gameSPARX for depression in adolescents in a well controlled noninferiority trial. Although this study targeted depression, rather than anxiety, it is of significance because it uses an interactive game, which was acceptable and appealed to adolescents, and showed effectiveness against current treatment provided through health providers. Moreover, it shows the type of research design that might be replicated for anxiety disorders as the primary target.
